App. F. IX-4

Previous References
Home 220-35(1-4)
" 11-36 (1+9)
Mysore 337-30 (2-3)
Letter References

1938.
(Annual).

File No. 144-386
Serial Nos. 1

PRESERVED

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. One

Part B for December 1936.

SUBJECT.

Executive case no 15/36-37 and the file
of the subordinate Mysore (Asst Superintendent
no Ramanagaram).

WD 0295—GPB—22,500—13-8 37

M Iyengar
21/12/36

D NO 214

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

GENL & FEV SECT
17 OCT 1931
MYSORE.

Read :--

Govt. r r No.659-61 MF 30-10- dated 3-8-1931 the Government Advocate to appear and conduct the case in the file of the High Court of Mysore (Mandamus W.P. No. of suit re. the overruling of certain objections raised by Venugopalaswamy.

Correspondence ending with the letter from the Government Advocate forwarding his remuneration for the preparation of the said regular appeal.

Order No. 2236-8 Leg S.31.2

Dated, Bangalore the 17 October 1931.

Sanctioned, the Mysore Commissioner is requested to point out direct to the Comptroller to Government the source out of which the charges is to be met.

By order,

Assistant Secretary to Government.

ISO

The Government Advocate with the bill.

" Comptroller to Government.

" Mysore Commissioner in Mysore.

TD

LEG SECTION
23 OCT. 1931

P. Nagaraj Rao

Engineering Kitchen has been returned

The Cost from Mr Ramachandra

2) Issue

23.10.31.

2

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record]

No 5938 23.12.

From the Mysore Commissioner
Calling for information about
the leave of absence of
Mr Rangachar deputed
to Mysore.

Memo no. 503/21-32 B
1.12.31 from the Mysore
Commissioner forwarding
copy of his letter of 30.11.31
to the Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District stating that
the Public Prosecutor may be
directed to take immediate action
to recover costs awarded
in both the cases and
also the income tax
from the Toodar Rangachar
& the Sirur Venkatachar
Narasimha

1) The receipt of a
further report if any will
be awaited

GV Clr 4) H. Garg) 12/12

5) 22/12 VS

Suo 23.12.31 ✓

Suo A
23.12.31

N.B — Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

1064-37
P

113

I.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Letter No 340/22-23 of
9/8/32 from the Inspn
Commissioner intimating the
realisation of Rs 81-5 being
the decretal amount due from
the Ramachar in R.A. Nos 72
of 1926-27 on the file of the
High Court of Mysore stating
that further action will
be taken for recovering
mesne profits from the
Ramachar -

The receipt may be
further communication awaited.
~~Letter~~ be awaited. Action so
far reported by the C.C. is also
for the information of Learned
Secy.

GS 9/8 After perusal, this will
be sent to the Law Section for
information.
Secy
19/8/32

Secy
19/8

Law Section may Compliments.
for note & return
19/8/32

MM 75 W
MM
19/8

MM
9/8/32

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

A Separate Communication has been received from the Pr. in the matter. This to be

1868/32
P 882

General and Revenue Secretariat | Mysore File No. | of 193 .

Serial No.

Letter no 340/22-23 dt 19.9.1932 from the Mysore Commissioner submitting a report of receivers from the appellant in R.A. no 72 of 26-27 on the file of the High Court of Mysore stating that a further report will be submitted in regard to the recovery of Income profits.

A Sum of Rs 81-5 has been realised towards the Court Costs & Rs 857 towards the quota for 1931-32 — If added this may be sent to Law Secn for perusal & return of the present report.

B The receipt of further report re: Income profits is awaited

GV 22/9

A & B — Ye please for perusal

24/9/32

for Secy)

26/9/32

MK 27/9/32

Law Section, with compliments & file & return

27/9/32

MM 27/9

To 19/ Mysore Br. with Compts.

ISD 28/9

NOTE—Contn Sheet.

WD 70—GBPM—60,000—13-8-31

File No. of 193 . General and Revenue Secretariat

Memo no 340/22-23 dt 10-11-32 from the Mayrai Commissioner forwarding Copy of his letter dt 7-11-1932 to the General Secretary stating that a sum of Rs 81-8-5 towards Court Costs and Rs 85/- towards the rents of lands belonging to Sri Venugopala Swamis Temple at Srirangarajapuram for 1931-32 was received from the appellant Ramachandra

The recovery of the said proposed to be carried out by the recovery of the moveable properties from the Ramachandra will be carried out by the Collr

yes
25/11/32

Collr to peruse & submit to the Govt

Collr to submit to M.C

The file on the subject received from the Law Secretary is returned.

Recd
22/11/32

For perusal.
25/11/32

Sri Seery)

1/3/33

1/3

D/- in para 2 above

4/3

4-3

No 1286 dt 6/3/33 to the Mayrai Commissioner

TYPING SECTION
4. MAR. 1933
GEN. L.

Recovery of mesne profits due to the
Estate of Sri Venu gopala Swami
from Mr Rangachar Dy and Co of Nallalagpe
T. Narasipur Taluk.

File No. of 193

6338 23-

Serial No.

returning records received with his
letter no 340/22-23 dy 10/11/32.

Letter no 340/32-33 dy 15/5/33
from the Muzrai Commissioner submitting
for information copy of letter dy 3-5-33
from the Deputy Commissioner Mysore
with three together with a copy of the
Public Prosecutor's letter no 676 dy
6/4/33 in the matter of recovering
mesne profits from Mr Rangachar
of Sri Rangarajpura —

The receipt of a further
report in the matter will be awaited in
appn — Dept as per letter has been done with the
P.P's report may be attached from
the Law Dr

6/6

Law Section, with Comp S., with reference
to para 2 of above.

7/6/33

Re T&D

7.6.33

The PPr. Mysore has been advised to
institute a suit against Mr Rangachar, for recovery
of mesne profits. Dft plaint is called for
approval of Govt

The Connected Law file is herewith put
up for perusal and early return.

9/6.

To,
Muz. Dr.
D.C.

Note—Contn. Sheet.

WD 70—GBPM—60,000—13-8-31

with reference to para 2 supra.

9/6/33

Approved

13/6

Suit for the recovery of mesne profits from Mr. Rangachariar of Srirangapattanam - 2501 of 3

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No. of 193 .

with Compts

Law Secy (returning herewith their files) I return the Orders —

Linked file retained
Mysg. Br. 11.11.

Law Secy to kindly state whether a suit as said in para 3 supra has been launched against one Mr Rangachariar of Srirangapattanam & if so what has been the result —

25.10.35.

1) From Law file 220-35 Sl 1-5 it will be seen that a suit was filed against Mr Rayadar for recovery of mesne profits which was decreed against him with full Costs.

2) The Law file referred to above may kindly be obtained from the Records.

Mysore Branch)

3) Law 220-35 — 5 — to go from Genl Records Section. The results of action directed in No P 8764/Law-37 10/6/36 may kindly be noted for the purpose of this file.

In pursuance of this office letter no P. 8164, dated 10-6-36 (Flag B)

44 p637
1269 Result of Suit — Recovery of mesne profits from one — Mrs Ranganayaki & Sriramajayam (8)

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. | of 193 . | [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

an Execution Application (Ex: 15/36-37) has been filed in ~~in~~ the Sub-Court, Mysore. The P. Pr., Mysore has reported that a stay order has been issued in this case by the High Court pending disposal of the appeal filed in that court by Ranganathan and another. (R.A. 185/35-36 — R.A. 87/36-37).

Mysore Branch)

18/11 42) If approved, This will be sent to Law Section for favour of a note & return after the question has been finally settled.

28/1 26.1.38.

43) Yes

Law Section)

28/1

45) Has any further report been received from the P.Pr. Mysore?

BAR 28/1

46) The P. Pr Mysore has not sent a further report in the matter, nor do the Quarterly Returns show the progress made. A draft reminder is submitted for approval

21/2

47) Issue

BAR 2.2

To

The P. Pr

Mysore

No P. 4577, dt 3-2-38

Enquiries about the progress made in the case

Issue A 3-2-38

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

TYPING SECTION 21. 19. OFFICE OF REV. SEC'T

WD 0246 --GPB—15,000—22-8-32

Execution proceedings against Rangachar for recovery of mesne profits.

Muzrai File No. 1969 of 193 . | General and Revenue Secretariat

From
The P. M.
Mysore
Letter no 1069, dated 5-2-38
states that Rangachar has filed an appeal before the High Court against the decree of the lower court, and has obtained a stay of execution; till the final disposal of which, execution proceedings cannot be continued.

Reference para 4 5.

(4) In P. V. D. the P. M. has reported the present stage of the case.

(5) This may now be sent to the Muzrai Branch for their information.

Subd.

(5a) Yes BMR 9.2

Sd 8/2 Sd (illegible) Asked for renewal - The receipt of further information is awaited. 10-7-38 Asst Secy

(5b) Sd 11.2.38.

(5c) Mr. Rangachar against whom a decree had been passed for mesne profits in O. S. No 87 34-35 on the file of the Sub-Court, Mysore, had filed an appeal before the High Court. The appeal has been allowed, and the decree of the Sub-judge set aside.

(5d) Pending the decision of the

BMR 11.2

Sec C 11-2-38

Sec C 2-7-38

Execution proceedings against Rangachar

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No. of 193 .

[App. F. III—2

Serial No.

High Court, Execution case No. 105/36-37 on the file of the Sub-judge, Mysore (Dist. Mag: Officer vs Rangachar) had been stayed. In view of the decision of the High Court setting aside the decree, the above execution case falls through.

53) Submitted for perusal before passing on the file to the Mag: Branch.

56 B.K.N.
1/11

14/11

Mag: Branch)

56) As regards relaxing the rule in respect of Mag. Dist. cases are dealt with in Law No. , if appl, this will also be returned to Law Sec. once for all with a request to take action in consultation with M.C. Mysore.

17.11.38.

17/11.

B.S. Rao
15/11/38

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 0284—GPB—30,000—13-9-37

File No. of 193 .

General and Revenue Secretariat

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

Dated, Bangalore, 23rd October 1931

No. 5-93

From Mez

The *Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.*

To Financial Department.

The Muzrai Commissioner
in Mysore, Bangalore.

Sir,

In continuation of this Office letter No.338/Muz 337-30-3 dated 29th August 1931, I am directed to enquire if action has been taken to recover the costs from - - Mr. Rangachar in R.A.No. 72 of 26-27 referred to therein.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

V Subrahmanyasastri

For Secretary to Government
Financial Department.

c.n.

File No. of 193 .

General and Revenue Secretariat

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section

File No.

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

No 593

To

The M-C

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193 .2

Sir,

In continuation of this office letter No 338/Misc 337-30-3 of 29-8-31, I am directed to enquire if action has been taken to recover the costs from Mrs Ramachar in R-A-No 72 of 26-27 rated to recover Rs as Etc.

Date of issue 23/10/31

Signed by Mr V.S. Sastri

23.10.31
Mr Secy to Govt
Revenue

GS

List of enclosures .....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

WD 71—GPBM—40,000—20-7-31.

No.

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Bangalore,.....30th November.....*193* 1

FROM

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

To

The Deputy Commissioner,
.....Mysore District.
.....

GENL. & REV. 564. 2 - DEC 1931 MUZR...

Sir,

In continuation of this office memo dated 4th November 1931, I have the honor to forward herewith in original a bill for Rs.50/- --- received from the Government Advocate being the remuneration payable to him in respect of R.A.No.72/26-27 on the file of the High Court of Mysore together with a copy of his letter No.332 dated 18th November 1931 and to request that the amildar of the T.Narsipur Taluk may be instructed to remit the amount of the bill by means of a R.T.R. direct to the Government advocate the charge being met from the funds of the Sri Venugopalaswami temple at Srirangarajapura.

2. A copy of letter No.P.2376(1)/Law dated 27th October 1931 --- together with a copy of the decree passed in the Regular appeal received from the General Secretary to Government is also sent. The Public -- Prosecutor of the Mysore Division may be requested to take immediate -- action to recover the costs awarded in both the courts-and also the --- mesne profits from the Jodidar Rangachar.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

(Sd.) S. Hiriannaiya,
Muzrai Commissioner.

504 / 31-32 1/12/31

M e m o.

Copy of the above is forwarded with compliments to the Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Financial Department, with reference to his letter No.535/ Muz. dated 23rd October 1931.

for Muzrai Commissioner.

M. C. 13]

No 340/22-32

4

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE,

Bangalore,..... 193 .

FROM

S. P. RAJAGOPALACHARI, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

To

S. Srinivasa Rao, Esq., B.A.,
.....

Secretary to Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
Finance Department.

2/8/32

SIR,

Referring to correspondence ending with your letter No.64/Muz. -- dated 6/8th July 1932 re the recovery of costs in Mr. Ramaiah Ja -- R.A.No.72 of 1926-27 on the file of the High Court of Mysore, I have the honor to forward herewith copy of letter No.75 dated 27th July 1932 ---- addressed to the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District, by the Public -- Prosecutor initiating the realization of a sum of Rs.31-5-0 being the -- amount of the decree and stating that further action will be taken for -- recovering the mesne profits due from Mr. Rangachar.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

S Rajagopal

Muzrai Commissioner.

5

Letter No.75 dated 28/29th July 32 from the Public Prosecutor Mysore Division, Mysore to the Deputy Commissioner and District, --

---

I have the honour to enclose herein a Mesne Profits Decree No.2/3, book --- No.0000 dated 27-7-32 issued by the District Judge, Mysore, for Rs.31-5-0 only being the amount of the decree realised in C.C.No.7 of 33-34 (x: O.17 of 31-32 (Deputy Commissioner Vs. Rangachar) The cheque is duly endorsed in your favour. It may be credited to the account concerned after encashment. ---- Receipt of the cheque may kindly be acknowledged.

In the High Court of Mysore, Bangalore.
R. A. No.72 of 26-27
Rangachar
Vs.
1. Chief Secretary.
2. Muzrai Commissioner.
3. Deputy Commissioner, Mysore.
4. Amildar, T.Narsipur Taluk.
M.34/31 dated 11-9-31.

The particulars of the suit proceedings together with your office references are noted in the margin. The decree has to be further ---- executed for recovering the mesne profits due from Mr. Rangachar, for which separate action will be taken.

"C o p y"

For Muzrai Commissioner.

6

M. C. 13]

No. 340/22-23
End One

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE.

Dated, Bangalore, 15th September *1932*.

FROM

S. P. Rajagopalachari, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

To

S. Shamanna, Esquire., B.A.,

Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore.

F i n a n c i a l *Department.*

2/2479

SIR,

In continuation of this office letter No.340/22-23 dated 8th August 1932, I have the honor to forward herewith copy of letter -- No.H.34/31 dated 10th September 1932 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, intimating that a sum of Rs.81-5-0 towards court costs and Rs.85/- towards the gutta of lands belonging to Sri Venugopalaswami temple at Srirangarajpura for the year 1931-32 was ---- recovered from the appellant in R.A.No.72 of 26-27 on the file of the High court of Mysore and that the lands have also been taken possession of by -- the Amildar and leased out for 1932-33 for a rent of 21 ~~xxx~~ pallas of paddy.

2. A further report will be obtained from the Deputy Commissioner about the recovery of mesne profits from the appellant and submitted in due course.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Muzrai Commissioner.

-2-

Letter No. C.34/31 dated 10th September 1932 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Recovery of court costs from Mr. Rangachar in M.A.No.72 of 26-27 on the file of the High Court, Bangalore.

With reference to your Memo No.340/32-33 dated 14th July 1932 -- regarding the recovery of court costs from Mr. Rangachar Jodidar of ---- Ukkalgere, I have the honor to state that a sum of Rs.81-5-0 being the Court costs, was recovered from Mr. Rangachar and credited to the funds of the Institution on 5th August 1932.

2. As the lands had been leased out to him during 1931-32, the -- value of the gutta paddy of 20 pallas namely Rs.85/- has also been --- recovered and the amount credited to the funds of the Institution on -- 30th June 1932.

3. The lands have come under the control of the Taluk Amildar and leased out for 1932-33 at a rent of 21 pallas of paddy.

4. The report of the Amildar is enclosed for reference and kind return.

"C o p y"

For Muzrai Commissioner.

GENL. & REV. SECTT. S40 12 NOV 1932 MUZRAI

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE.

No.

Dated, Bangalore, 7th November 1932.

FROM S. Hiriannaiya, Esq., M.A., L.L.,
The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

To R. V. Narasimhaswami, Esq., M.A., B.L.,
General Secretary to the Government of His
Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore.

1/11/32

SIR,

Adverting to correspondence ending with your letter No.P.S376(1)/Law dated 27th October 1931 re R.A.No.72/26-27 on the file of the High court of Mysore (Rangachar Vs. Chief Secretary), I have the honor to state that a sum of Rs.81-0-5 towards court costs and Rs.85/- towards the gutta of lands belonging to the Sri Venugopalaswami temple at Srirangarajapura for 1931-32 was recovered from the appellant. The lands were also taken possession of by the Amildar and leased out for 1932-33 for a rent of 21 pallas of paddy.

2. As regards the recovery of mesne profits from Mr. Rangachar, copy of letter No. H.34/31 dated 14th October 1932 from the Deputy Commissioner Mysore District, together with a copy of the Public Prosecutor's letter stating that there is no decree in favour of Government directing Mr. Rangachar to pay mesne profits for the period of enjoyment of the lands and that a separate suit will be filed, is submitted for information. The filing of the suit as proposed by the Public Prosecutor may kindly be approved.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

(Sd.) S. Hiriannaiya,

Muzrai Commissioner.

-2-

340/22-23
10-11-32

Encl.

Copy of the above is forwarded in compliments to the Secretary

9

[illegible]

352 [illegible]

[illegible]

1286 Mez

6th March 10

3. [illegible]

The

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

I am directed to return herewith the papers separately received on the 15th November 1932 as enclosure to your Memo No. 340/22-23 dated the 10th November 1932 forwarding copy of your letter dated the 7th November 1932 addressed to the General Secretary in the matter of filing a separate suit for the recovery of mesne profits from Mr. Rangachar in connection with the gutta of lands belonging to Sri Venugopalaswami Temple at Srirangarajapura.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

for Secretary to Government,
Financial Department.

P.H.

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section

File No.

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

No. 1286

To

The M.C

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193 .

Date of issue 6/8/33

Signed by .....

Sir,

I am directed to return the papers herewith ~~enclosed~~ received on 15-11-32 as enclosure separately ~~to form enclosure~~ to your ~~letter~~ No 340/22-23 dt 10/11/32 forwarding Copy of your letter dt 7-11-32 addressed to Govt in the matter of filing a separate suit for the recovery of mesne profits from the Rangachar in connection with the grant of lands belonging to Sri Venugopalaswamie Temple at Sri Rangarajapuram ~~Karur Etc~~

List of enclosures .....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

WD 71—GBPM—40,000—20-7-31.

8/11/33

For Secy.

No. 340 Rev 2
22-23

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, 11th May .... *193 3.*



12

FROM

S HIRIANNAIYA, ESQ., M.A., B.E.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

8/1615

To

C. Shamanna, Esq., B.A.,

Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,

F.i.n.a.n.c.i.a.l...*Department.*

SIR,

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No. 1286/Muz. dated 6th March 33 re: the recovery of mesne profits from Mr. Rangachar of Srirangarajpur, I have the honor to submit herewith for favour of information copy of letter No.H.34/31-32 dated 3rd May 33 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, together with a copy of the Public Prosecutor's letter referred to therein.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Hiriannaiya

~~for~~ Muzrai Commissioner.

13

Letter No.H.34/31-32 dated 3-5-33 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Recovery of mesne profits from Jodidar Rangachar.

With reference to para 2 of your letter No.C.340 of 22-23 dated nil on the above subject, I have the honor to forward herewith a copy of letter No.729 dated 20th April 1933 together with copies of its enclosures received from the Public Prosecutor, Mysore Division, and to state that further action will be taken after the opinion of the Government is communicated to the Public Prosecutor.

"COPY"

For Muzrai Commissioner.

Letter No.676 dated 6-4-33 from the Public Prosecutor, Mysore Division, Mysore, to the General Secretary to the Government of Mysore, Bangalore.

With reference to your letter No.J.2356 dated 21-11-32 addressed to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore, directing the institution of a suit against Mr. Rangachar, Inamdar of Ukkalagere, T.Narasipur Taluk, for the recovery of mesne profits due to the temple of Venugopalaswamy, I have the honor to enclose copy of Notice issued to Mr. Rangachar from this office demanding payment of Rs 6,295/- together with interest thereon at 6% per annum.

From the statement of account furnished by the Amildar of T.Narasipur it may be seen that the Inamdar has appropriated mesne profits that accrued due during a period of 26 years. The stock defence to a claim of this nature is the bar of limitation. The relevant provisions of the Limitation Regulation are Section 10 and Article 120. The finding recorded by the District Judge in O.S. 7 of 22-23 and confirmed in R.A. 72 of 26-27 was to the effect that the plaintiff (Mr. Rangachar) was only a trustee of the lands for the temple of Venugopalaswamy. Presumably it was an express trust for a specific purpose; wherefore under Section 10 of the Limitation Regulation there is no bar of limitation for following the property in the hands of the trustee.

The question as to how far the Manager of trust property may be regarded as Trustee is discussed in the commentaries of Rustomji and Mitra under Section 10 of the Limitation Act.

Particular attention is invited to the Proviso to Section 10 added by the amendment introduced by Act I of 1928.

I am not aware of a similar amendment in the local Regulation. But the principle of the amendment ought to be the law here also.

The claim against Mr. Rangachar is pretty large. The defendant being a solvent person, there is a fair prospect of realising the decree. I am of opinion that the whole claim is in time under Section 10 and Article 120 has no application to trustees. The judiciary character of Mr. Rangachar's possession and enjoyment of the lands is concluded ~~calculated~~ by res judicata. In view of the magnitude of the suit claim I would request that the Government may be pleased to examine the question of limitation and favour me with their considered opinion in the matter.

The print book of R.A. No.72 of 26-27 received from the Government Advocate is submitted herewith for reference.

"COPY"

Appendix F G—1]

15

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section Law

File No.

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

No. P457

To

The P. P

Mysore

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

Subject:- Execution Case No. 15/36-37 on the file of the Sub-judge, Mysore (Dist. Mag: Officer v Ranganathan.)

Date of issue.....3-2-38.....

Sir,

Signed by Mr. BKR.

With reference to your Progress Report No 410, dated 21st Sept 1936 stating that the above Case has been stayed as per the order of the High Court, I am directed to enquire about the progress made in the Case.

I have etc,

BKR

for Gen: Secy.

List of enclosures.....

Copied by .....

Examined by.....

WD 0521—GPB—30,000—12-3-37

4635/37 16

No. 1069

Encs.

OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MYSORE DIVISION, MYSORE.

Dated 5 2 1938.

FROM

THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MYSORE DIVISION,
MYSORE.

TO

The General Secretary to the Govt of H.H.
the Maharaja of Mysore
Bangalore

GENERAL SECRETARIAT
7 FEB. 1938

SUBJECT:— Ex.15/36.37
(District Munsif Office Vs. Rangachar)
on the file of the Sub-Judge Mysore

SIR,

With refce. to your letter No. P. 4517 dated the 3rd inst enquiring about the progress made in the abovestated cases I have the honour to state that from the decree passed in O.S. 8/34.35 on the file of the Subordinate Judge Mysore the 1st defendant Rangachar files an appeal before the High Court (R.A. 8/36.37) and obtained Stay of Execution. After some discussion the Court was pleased to report that the Security offered was sufficient whereupon the stay order was confirmed. The appeal is pending disposal before the High Court and it will take at least a year more to be decided.

The original Suit against Mr Rangachar and his nephews and grandson was one for the recovery of Mesne-profits due in respect of his enjoyment of certain lands belonging to Sri Venugopalaswami Temple at Somanathpur. The lands were taken possession of by the Deputy Commissioner long before the Suit was filed. A decree for Mesne-profits and costs (about Rs 6000/=) has been passed against defendant Rangachar. But it cannot be executed till the